Lost in the crowd no longer? Mobile phones and the prospects of continuous geosurveillance

Martin Dodge,
Centre for Advanced Spatial Analysis,
University College London

Defining geosurveillance

- directed observation for the purposes of social control
- goal being to determine 'who' you are (positive identification), determine what you are 'doing' and determine an appropriate 'action' in response
- geosurveillance extends this with explicit concern over 'where' the identified individuals are doing the things they are doing
- spatial privacy notion of control over your spatial identity, the right of control over the release, storage and use of information on geographic location, activities and movement patterns
- the act of knowing where people are is changing
- new surveillance technologies on the person and throughout the environment identify people, where they are, and often what they are doing

Geosurveillance assemblage

- 1. **Sporadic:** 'tracking through transactions'
- 2. Visual: 'tracking by cameras'
- 3. Mobile: 'tracking through tags'







Type 1 Geosurveillance: Sporadic tracking by transactions

- surveillance at distinct point sources
- strong degree of individual identification in many cases
- generally aware that your position has been 'caught'
- can give very precise space-time co-ordinates
- but localised, partial. intermittent trajectories
- however, historical logs can build up insightful patterns

Money and consumption

- growth in volume & diversity of electronic payment transactions
- what would a map of your bank and credit card statements reveal?
- cross sectoral 'loyalty' cards linking purchasing habits across whole range of personal consumption locations
- see CASPIAN (www.nocards.org) for why 'loyalty' cards are 'bad'

4.7 billion payment transactions made with debit & credit cards in 2002 in UK (APACS, 2003)





e.g. Nectar 'loyalty' card linking together supermarket, garage, off-license, dept. store, utilities

From keys to cards




digitally controlled physical access (cards, pin nos.)



```
10:45 01 Torr Pl 1-19, Rear Torr Pl 1-19 Ents
                                                 Door Access Granted
 18:00 01 Torr Pl 1-19,Ent,Out Torr Pl 1-19 Ents
                                                 Door Access Granted
** 23/04/03 *********
                                                 Valid Card Exit
 19:38 01 Torr Pl 1-19, Rear
                             Torr Pl 1-19 Ents
** 24/04/03
No Activity
 16:51 01 Torr Pl 1-19, Rear
                             Torr Pl 1-19 Ents
                                                 Valid Card Exit
 19:43 01 Torr Pl 1-19. Rear
                              Torr Pl 1-19 Ents
                                                 Valid Card Exit
No Activity
 12:54 01 Torr Pl 1-19, Ent, In Torr Pl 1-19 Ents
 17:38 01 Torr Pl 1-19, Ent, Out Torr Pl 1-19 Ents
                                                  Door Access Granted
** 28/04/03 ********************
 19:22 01 Torr Pl 1-19, Rear
                                                 Valid Card Exit
                             Torr Pl 1-19 Ents
** 29/04/03 *********************
                                                  Valid Card Exit
                             Torr Pl 1-19 Ents
 19:17 01 Torr P1 1-19. Rear
** 30/04/03 ************************
No Activity
                                                  Valid Card Exit
 18:32 O1 Torr Pl 1-19, Rear
                             Torr Pl 1-19 Ents
                                                  Door Access Granted
 18:45 01 Torr Pl 1-19, Rear
                            Torr Pl 1-19 Ents
                                                  Valid Card Exit
 20:18 01 Torr Pl 1-19, Rear
** 02/05/03
No Activity
No Activity
** 04/05/03 **************************
No Activity
```

Tickets and travel



- summer 2003 Oyster smart card ticket on the Tube and buses
- 16,000+ card readers
- 1/2 million Oyster cards in use (Jan. 2004), 2 million trips a day
- printed paper tickets are deemed obsolete
- enforced swiping on entry <u>and</u> exit



wanna travel in London? then get tracked

No mention of tracking movement patterns of course www.oystercard.com



The smarter, faster way to travel around London







Oyster is all I need to travel the capital.



About Oyster

- What it is and why we need it
- » How Oyster works
- » Where Oyster can be used
- » How it's being introduced
- Helping Londoners get used to
 Oyster
- » Benefits to customers
- » Funding and management
- » What the public thinks
- » London's transport policy



What it is and why we need it

What is Oyster?

Oyster is the new ticketing and revenue system that is bringing smartcard travel and all of its associated benefits to Transport for London and its customers. At the heart of this system is the Oyster card which can be used on Tube, bus, Tramlink, DLR and National Rail services within London. Quick, convenient and secure, Oyster is the smarter, faster way to travel around the capital.

Better for customers

Oyster will make getting about London quicker and easier for customers. Oyster is very easy to use. All customers have to do is touch it against one of the new readers - the card can even remain in its wallet.

Customers will save time because they will be able to get and renew their tickets online, over the phone, at touchscreen ticket machines in Tube stations, or at Tube station Ticket Offices.

If cards are lost or stolen then tickets are still safe. This is due to the fact that lost or stolen Oyster cards can be reported by customers to our helpline and the cards can then be hotlisted. Hotlisting a card prevents anyone from fraudulently using it.

Oyster cards are made of tough plastic so you won't have any more trouble from dog-eared paper tickets.

Better for Transport for London

London's public transport system is one of the busiest in the world. Oyster will not only make travelling in the capital easier, it will provide information that will help London to manage its transport system better. For instance, we will be able to identify where people, and how many, are transferring from bus to bus or from bus to Tube.

Oyster will help Transport for London as the sophisticated technology employed by Oyster will significantly reduce the amount of money lost to ticket fraud. The money saved in this area will be able to be used by Transport for London to further improve their service in the future. Oyster will allow us to introduce new tickets and new ways of paying for travel.

Sporadic geosurveillance

- type 1 surveillance generates a series of scattered 'dots' through the space-time trajectory of your day
- can still be very revealing, but you are the only one with a complete picture of your daily space-time trajectories
- clearly, if a third party has enough 'dots', they can do a good job at interpolating the complete life path
- problem is that interpolation is bad at predicting rapid changes in behaviour patterns. Which are precisely the type of ad-hoc changes of activities that are basis of 'mobile society'
- easy to duck out of type 1 surveillance (e.g. pay cash)
- although the number of required 'dots' is growing, as the potential for anonymous transactions is declining








Type 2 Geosurveillance: Visual tracking by cameras


- people tracked through the directed visual gaze of distant observers via video cameras. Potential for continuous surveillance over time
- partial and localised, but networks of cameras covering large areas
- hard to automate, but working towards algorithmic video surveillance. (cars number plates are easy, but faces are much harder)

London - camera heaven!



- many large public and privateoperated street schemes
- whole of Tube is blanketed by CCTV
- inside buses, trains
- the City's anti-terror 'Ring of Steel' started in 1990s
- Congestion Charge started in February 2003
- numerous road traffic monitoring and enforcement
- average daily dose of CCTV, 300 cameras, 30 systems (Norris & Armstrong, 1999)
- camera concentration is high, but also highly variable

Watching the roads - monitoring and enforcement




Increasing number have ANPR and data logged





Watching the roads - monitoring and enforcement







900 buses equipped






..enforcement images recorded continuously.....

Increasing number have ANPR and data logged



Congestion Charge





- all vehicle movement into and out of 21 square kilometre zone
- networked video system, 500 cameras at some 250 sites with ANPR
- watching at all times, including 49.4% of non-charging time
- classic case of 'control creep'. Likely to be extended





£5 per day

Mon - Fri

7 am - 6.30 pm ½ mile

- all vehicle movement into and out of 21 square kilometre zone
- networked video system, 500 cameras at some 250 sites with ANPR
- watching at <u>all</u> times, including 49.4% of non-charging time
- · classic case of 'control creep'. Likely to be extended

Towards continuous geosurveillance

 Steve Graham (1998), "... incomplete, fragmented, and patchy, always partial, contingent and unevenly developed across and between the 'life-paths' of citizens."

 both type 1 and type 2 geosurveillance are partial, non-continuous across space










Type 3 Geosurveillance: Mobile tracking through tags

- growing number of locational aware technologies people use in everyday life
- 'intimate and internal' surveillance, generated 'bottom-up'
- promise (threat) of much more continuous and complete geosurveillance of your time-space trajectories


Personalised locational tags

- digital devices that identifies uniquely you <u>and</u> has the potential to actively 'leak' your positional data (at varying resolutions) to a control network and thus to third parties
- mobile phones (wide area cellular; global satellite)
- computer devices (PDAs, laptops),
 - local area networks (wifi); personal area network (Bluetooth links)
- involuntary tags (the vulnerable, the dangerous & the feckless)
- vehicular
 - personal cars (satellite navigation; 'black box' recorders); fleet logistic monitoring (legitimate workplace geosurveillance?)
- object tags (rfid chips 'hidden' in products) and sensor net to track them. Been used in tagging cattle and 'smart' name tags for conferences
- all have potential for covert reading at a distance

Mobile phones, the ultimate 'body bugs'



Mobile phones, the ultimate 'body bugs'



Court cases using mobile location data



Talking Point Omagh's devastated main street

By the BBC's Annita McVeigh

It was the 15th of August 1998, a busy Saturday afternoon in Omagh, when the bomb exploded.

BBB SPORT The town's streets were packed with young BIBIC WEATHER and old, Catholic and Protestant, local people CBBC

and tourists.

SERVICES Daily E-mail News Ticker

Technology Health

Country Profiles

Programmes

Education

In Depth

Mobile/PDAs Text Only

Feedback

EDITIONS

Twenty-nine men, women and children as well as unborn twins were killed - the biggest loss of life in a single incident during Northern Ireland's Troubles.

More than 200 people were injured, some of them maimed or scarred for life.

Change to World The device had been packed into a stolen car and left in the County Tyrone town by the Real IRA, dissident republicans opposed to the peace process.

Thursday, 18 April, 2002, 15:12 GMT 16:12 UK Mobile calls 'key', Damilola jury told



Damilola bled to death on a stairwell

Two brothers accused of murdering Damilola Taylor would have had to run the "four minute" mile" if they had been using their mobile phones on the day of the boy's death, a court has been told.

The judge, Mr Justice Hooper, told the Old Bailey jury that if they were sure the brothers had been using their phones they could not have been involved in the 10-vear-old's death.

It is the four minute mile which was first broken by Roger Bannister a long time

Mr Justice Hooper

The 16-vear-old brothers deny murder. manslaughter and assault with intent to rob the schoolbov on 27 November 2000.

Damilola bled to death on a stairwell on the North Peckham Estate, south London, from a



Last Updated: Thursday, 18 December, 2003, 11:24 GMT E-mail this to a friend A Printable version

Mobile phones - the new fingerprints

By Chris Summers **BBC News Online**

Ian Huntley's conviction for the murder of Holly Wells and Jessica Chapman was based partly on crucial mobile phone evidence - which nowadays is almost as useful to the police as fingerprints or DNA.

Huntley was knowledgeable about some aspects of forensic science - such as analysis of fibres - but it was his ignorance about mobile phones that proved his undoing.

He was not alone in being unaware of how powerful evidence from cellular phone networks could be when it

Soham FC Mast Huntley's CAMBRIDGESHIRE

Jessica's mobile logged on to a mast at Burwell comes to proving where somebody was at a key moment.

In the past five years, dozens of murderers have been convicted partly as a result of evidence about their mobile phones or those of their victims.

Detectives now routinely contact the mobile phone networks and obtain details of phone calls made by and to a murder victim and from the prime suspects.


The response varies from network to network.


David Bristowe, the prosecution's expert witness Trials featuring mobile phone

 Stuart Campbell (Dec 2002): Convicted of murdering Danielle Jones Colm Murphy (Jan 2002):

Alibi for the defence, incriminating evidence for prosecution


'Productizing' position, 'monetarizing' mobility






Density of mobile antennas

Sitefinder




www.webmap.o2.co.uk/

Visualising trajectories




Geovisualisation of activity-travel patterns using 3d GIS



Space-time aquarium showing space-time paths of African and Asian Americans in Portland


http://geog-www.sbs.ohio-state.edu/faculty/mkwan/




3D Viewer: 6.0.7, Apr 5 2002 City University Property Color Key Display: dmm2000wgs84lte5sln... 78.0 76.0 74.0 72.0 70.0 68.0 66.0 64.0 800 62.0 60.0 58.0 56.0 54.0 52.0 50.0 48.0 48.0 44.0 700 8000 42.0 40.0 38.0 36.0 34.0 32.0 30.0 26.0 24.0 22.0 20.0 5000 4000 18.0 16.0 14.0 12.0 10.0 10000 8.0 6.0 4.0 2.0 Z exaggeration: 1e-006 Azimuth: 328.45 Inclination: 18.48 X Front Cut: Y Front Cut: -0.151.4 Z Front Cut: B6877.B

David Mountain,

www.soi.city.ac.uk/~dmm/







Feasibility of 'whole' population continuous geosurveillance

Mobile phone usage in the UK

——Own or use ——Household penetration



% UK adults (15 yrs +) and households who have a mobile phone (Source: Consumers' use of mobile telephony, August 2003, OFTEL, www.oftel.gov.uk)



% UK adults (15 yrs +) and households who have a mobile phone (Source: Consumers' use of mobile telephony, August 2003, OFTEL, www.oftel.gov.uk)

Discourses of geosurveillance

- will to power to 'number, weigh and divide'. The unstated goal: all people, at all places and all the time
- securitisation, move to the control society. Spurred by 'signal crimes' (Innes 2001)
- the 'position of safety'. Risk reduction is rational win-win for business and consumer. Selling protection from fear, insecurity and sense of urban alienation
- emergency services (911 locate)
- 'here comes the spatial spam', consumer services push, (LBS dreams, pay back those expensive 3g licenses)
- efficiency and time-space maximisation
- locative media. 'Bottom-up' from artists and activists (lets have some fun, community empowerment)

Concerns

- casual knowledge of position. Becomes as common as clock time
- providers and operators are lacking in transparency. Little specific detail on what they collect, how long they keep it, how they process it, how they apply derived information, to whom they release. Very cagey about what they know and what they want to know
- 'control creep' (Innes 2001)
- easily drawn into governmental security systems, commercial consumption profiling systems
- moving from discretionary to mandatory
- beyond personal privacy. Enabling and disabling potential. Facilitates further discriminatory practices. Mobile sorting of people based on their geographic activity patterns
- continuous geosurveillance through everyday uses of locational tags will become a dimension of the control society. There will be no sense of being lost in the crowd

Welcome to the 'tin foil' world

- Is off really off?
- technical 'solutions' to foil always-on geosurveillance.
 New markets for foil lined wallets and bags
- technical arms race via personal shielding of smart cards, mobile phones from covert reading
- but might then show up by 'going off the map' and thus be flagged as suspicious....
- will ambivalence to geosurveillance continue?



(source: www.spy.org.uk/spyblog)

Contact

- email m.dodge@ucl.ac.uk
- presentation slides are online at www.casa.ucl.ac.uk/martin/bristol_geosurveillance.pdf

References

- Graham S (1998) "Spaces of Surveillant Simulation: New Technologies, Digital Representations, and Material Geographies", Environment and Planning D: Society & Space, 16, 483-504
- Innes M (2001) "Control creep", Sociological Research Online 6(3), www.socresonline.org.uk/6/3/innes.html
- Lenntorp B (1978) "A time-geographic simulation model of individual activity programmes"
- Norris C Armstrong G (1999) The Maximum Surveillance Society: The Rise of CCTV (Berg, Oxford)
- Amsterdam Realtime by WAAG, www.waag.org/realtime
- 9-11 hijacker social network, Valdis Krebs, www.orgnet.com