

Advanced Urban Modeling GCU 598 (28167) or PUP 598 (28168) April 30, 2010

Lecture 3

Basic Land Use Transportation Models

http://www.casa.ucl.ac.uk/ASU/





Outline

- Entropy-Maximising Again and Related Measures
- Residential Location, Modal Split Models
- The London Tyndall Model: Applications
- Transportation Modelling: The Four Stage Process
- Modular Modelling: Coupled Spatial Interaction
- A Simple Example of Modularity: Lowry's Model
- DRAM-EMPAL Style Models
- Demand and Supply: Market Clearing
- Input-Output: The Echenique Models
- Next Monday's Lecture





Entropy-Maximising Again and Related Measures

First we define entropy as Shannon information and we convert all our equations and constraints to probabilities.

Shannon entropy is a measure of spread or compactness in spatial systems

$$H = -\sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{ij} \log p_{ij}$$

We maximise this entropy subject to origin and destination constraints or some combination of these but noting now that we need another constraint on travel cost which is equivalent to energy so that we can derive a model

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{ij} c_{ij} = \hat{C}$$





We thus set up the problem as

$$\max H = -\sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{ij} \log p_{ij}$$

$$subject to$$

$$\sum_{j} p_{ij} = p_{i}$$

$$\sum_{i} p_{ij} = p_{j}$$

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{ij} c_{ij} = \hat{C}$$

But note that the probabilities always add to 1, that is

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{ij} = \sum_{i} p_{i} = \sum_{j} p_{j} = 1$$

From this we get the Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution for the probabilities





By setting up a Lagrangian which is the method of maximisation, then we get

$$p_{ij} = \exp(-\lambda_i - \lambda_j - \lambda c_{ij})$$

$$or$$

$$T_{ij} = Tp_{ij} = A_i O_i B_j D_j \exp(-\lambda c_{ij})$$

Now we can generate any model in the family of four models – unconstrained, singly-constrained (origin or destination) and doubly constrained by setting the redundant constraint parameters equal to zero and simplifying the model

To derive a residential location model which is origin constrained – we know the information at the origin but want to predict the flows to the destination and add up these flows to predict activity at the destination, we





We thus set up the problem as

$$\max_{subject \ to} H = -\sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{ij} \log p_{ij}$$

$$\sup_{subject \ to} \sum_{j} p_{ij} = p_{i}$$

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{ij} c_{ij} = \hat{C}$$

$$T_{ij} = Tp_{ij} = A_{i}O_{i} \exp(-\lambda c_{ij})$$

$$where$$

$$D'' = \sum_{i} T_{i}$$





Several things to note:

There is no attractor value at the destination – we would need to put this in as a constraint – i.e. a piece of information to be incoporated by the model

This is a location model – we predict activity at the destination – in the case of a model that predicts how many people working in zone i O_i live in zone j, this is D'_j where the prime ' is the notation for predicted

Now let us put this model back into the entropy equation and see what we get – let us put the model back in in its exponential form

$$p_{ij} = \exp(-\lambda_i - \lambda c_{ij})$$





Then what we get is

$$H = -\sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{ij} \log p_{ij} = -\sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{ij} (-\lambda_{i} - \lambda c_{ij})$$
$$= \sum_{i} p_{i} \lambda_{i} + \lambda \hat{C} = \sum_{i} p_{i} \log \sum_{j} \exp(-\lambda c_{ij}) / p_{i} + \lambda \hat{C}$$

What we need to note is that entropy is partitioned into a fixed energy and free energy – the fixed is the second term and the free is the first – a series of weighted log-sums and it is often thought of a kind of accessibility.

In this case it is the sum of accessibilities, one for each origin zone. It has strong relations to utility in the random utility maximising version of this kind of model which is central to discrete choice theory





Residential Location, Modal Split

Let me illustrate in two ways how we can build models using this framework

If we say that residential location depends on not only travel cost but also on money available for housing we argue as before that

- The model is singly constrained we know where people work and we want to find out where they live – so origins are workplaces and destinations are housing areas
- The model then lets us predict people in housing
- We argue that people will trade-off money for housing against transport cost

And we then set up the model as follows





This time using not the probability form but the trip activity-volume form, we get

$$\sum_{j} T_{ij} = O_{i}$$

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{j} T_{ij} c_{ij} = C$$

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{j} T_{ij} R_{j} = R$$

$$leads to$$

$$T_{ii} = A_{i} O_{i} \exp(\Re R_{i}) \exp(-\lambda c_{ii})$$

Note that we now add a constraint on money available for housing (like rent) R_j . We can of course find out from this location model how many people live in destination housing zones, so again it is a distribution as well as a location model

$$P_j = \sum_i T_{ij}$$





We can extend this model in lots of ways and we will show some of these later. We also can think about disaggregating the model into different transport modes – let us call each mode k and then set up the model so that we can predict T_{ij}^k as follows

The model is singly (origin) constrained because we want to predicts how many people travel from work to home. Given we know how many people work at origins, and we want to predict what mode of transport k they travel on. Then

$$egin{aligned} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} T_{ij}^{k} &= O_{i} \ \sum_{j} \sum_{k} T_{ij}^{k} F_{j} &= F \ \sum_{i} \sum_{j} T_{ij}^{k} c_{ij}^{k} &= C^{k} \end{aligned}$$





And the model can be specified as

$$T_{ij}^{k} = O_{i} \frac{F_{j} \exp(-\lambda^{k} c_{ij}^{k})}{\sum_{j} \sum_{k} F_{j} \exp(-\lambda^{k} c_{ij}^{k})} = O_{i} \frac{F_{j} \exp(-\lambda^{k} c_{ij}^{k})}{\sum_{j} F_{j} \sum_{k} \exp(-\lambda^{k} c_{ij}^{k})}$$

Note that the mode split is a ratio of the competitive effects of each travel cost, that is


$$\frac{T_{ij}^k}{T_{ij}^\ell} = \frac{\exp(-\lambda^k c_{ij}^k)}{\exp(-\lambda^\ell c_{ij}^\ell)}$$

In short the model is not only distributing trips so that locations compete but also that modes compete BUT modes do not compete per se with locations

Now let us see how we can build this model for real













Visual Analytics and Modelling Processes

London and the Thames Gateway Land Use Transportation Model











This program is a rudimentary land-use transportation model built along classical lines which allocates population and employment to small zones of the urban system. It uses spatial interaction principles which bind the population sector (residential or housing) to employment sector (work or industrial and commercial) through the journey to work (work trips) and the demand from services (which loosely translate into trips made to the retail and commercial sector).

The model is being built for Greater London and the Thames Gateway at ward level - 633 in all - so that it can be used in a wider process of integrated assessment focussed on assessing the impact of climate change on small areas in this metropolitan region. In particular rises in sea level and pollution are key issues, and as such the model sits between aggregate assessments of environmental changes associated with global and regional climate change models and environmental input output models, and much more disaggregate models related to the detailed hydrological implication of long term climate change.

The programme enables the user to read in the data and explore it spatially, to calibrate the parameters of the model and explore its outputs spatially and to engage in various predictions ranging from the typical' business as usual scenarios' to much more radical changes posed limits on spatial behaviour which either result from climate change and, or mandated by government. The predictions and scenarios are intended to go out to 2100 and thus the model is largely designed as a sketch planning tool.

These various stages of the model contained in a master tool bar which is activated when the GO! button is pressed on this screen. The master tool bar enables the users to proceed through the various stages indicated and to display outputs in map and statistical form at any stage.






Program Manual















Road: 38%; Bus: 12%: Heavy Rail: 12%: Light Rail 19%; Other (Walk, Bike, Fly): 19%












Accessibility from the LUTM model

Many different accessibility measures, 8 in all







Accessibility measures are computed with respect to the origin zone i which in this case is where the employment E_i is located, or the destination zone j which in this case is where the population P_j is located. A_i is the area and hence (E_i/A_i) and (P_j/A_j) are densities. c_{ij} is the travel cost from origin zone i to destination zone j. \overline{C} is the mean travel cost with all these cost specific to each of the four modes. We show all these accessibility measures for the origin i zone.

Absolute Potential
$$V_i = \sum_{i} P_i c_{ij}^{-1}$$

Potential Density
$$V_i = \sum_{i} (P_i / A_i) c_{ii}^{-1}$$

$$V_i = \frac{\text{Absolute Benefit}}{\sum_j P_j \exp(-c_{ij} / \overline{C})}$$

$$V_i = \sum_{j} (P_j \mid A_j) \exp(-c_{ij} \mid \overline{C})$$

These benefits are proportional to the log sum benefits which are the log of these

$$\frac{\text{(Inverse)}}{\text{Absolute Travel Cost}}$$

$$V_i = \left(\sum_j c_{ij}\right)^{-1}$$

$$\frac{\text{(Inverse)}}{\text{Weighted Absolute Travel Cost}}$$


$$V_i = \left(\sum_j P_j c_{ij}\right)^{-1}$$


 $\frac{\text{(Inverse) Weighted}}{\text{Absolute Travel Cost Density}}$ $V_i = \sum_{j} (P_j \mid A_j) c_{jj}$

Population within Mean Travel Cost $V_i = \sum_i P_j$ for all $c_{ij} \leq \overline{C}$
















Let us <u>run</u> the model... I need to go to my folder...>>






Run





For a very old movie of all this go to our web site

http://www.casa.ucl.ac.uk/transportmodel/transportmodel.asp



We need to retrack and say something more about these kinds of spatial interaction models and how they can be extended





Transportation Modelling: The Four Stage Process

I should make a brief point about transport modelling – we have included transport and location together here but traditionally the transport model is based on a four stage process that involves generation, distribution, modal split and assignment

The other issue is that in the standard transport modelling process, once trips are assigned to the network, then one can assess whether the network can take the load – this is matching travel demand against supply and if not then the model is iterated to match demand to supply. This is another generic issue in urban modelling – demand and supply and the way the market resolves this.





Modular Modelling: Coupled Spatial Interaction

Now we have a module for one kind of interaction – consider stringing these together as more than one kind of spatial interaction

Classically we might model flows from home to work and home to shop but there are many more and in this sense, we can use these as building blocks for wider models. This is for next time too

What we will now do is illustrate how we might build such a structure taking a journey to work model from Employment to Population and then to Shopping which we structure as --





First we have the journey from work to home model as

$$T_{ij} = E_i \frac{F_j \exp(-\lambda c_{ij})}{\sum_{j} F_j \exp(-\lambda c_{ij})} , \sum_{j} T_{ij} = E_i$$

$$P_j = \alpha \sum_{i} T_{ij}$$

And then the demand from home to shop

$$S_{jm} = P_j \frac{W_m \exp(-\beta c_{jm})}{\sum_{m} W_m \exp(-\beta c_{jm})}, \quad \sum_{m} S_{jm} = P_j$$

$$S_m = \sum_{j} S_{jm}$$

And there is a potential link back to employment from the retail sector $E_m = f(S_m)$






A Simple Example of Modularity: Lowry's Model


Lowry's (1964) model of Pittsburgh was a model of this nature but it also incorporated in it – or rather its derivatives did more formally – a generative sequence of starting with only a portion of employment – basic – and then generating the non-basic that came from this. This non-basic set up demand for more non-basic and so on until all the non-basic employment was generated, and this sequence followed the classic multiplier effect that is central to input-output models.

A block diagram of the model follows









From http://www.casa.ucl.ac.uk/urbanmodelling/





DRAM-EMPAL Style Models

Essentially what we have here is the notion of simultaneous dependence – ie one activity generates another but that other activity generates the first one – what came first – the chicken or the egg?

Stephen Putman developed an integrated model to predict residential location DRAM and another to predict employment location EMPAL. In essence different models are used to do each – the employment model tends to be based on very different factors – it is a regression like model of key location factors not a flow model





Demand and Supply: Market Clearing

So far most of these models have been articulated from the demand side – they are models of travel demand and locational demand – they say nothing about supply although we did introduce the notion that in simulating trips and assigning these to the network, we need to invoke supply. When demand and supply are in balance, then the usual signal of this is the price that is charged. In one sense the DRAM EMPAL model configures residential location as demand and employment location as supply but most models tend to treat supply as being relatively fixed, given, non-modellable






However several models that couple more than one activity together treat supply as being balanced with demand, often starting with demand, seeing if demand is met, if not changing the basis of demand and so on until equilibrium is ascertained. Sometimes prices determine the signal of this balance. If demand is too high, price rises and demand falls until supply is met and vice versa

Most urban models do not attempt to model supply for supply side modelling is much harder and less subject to generalisable behaviour

A strategy for ensuring balance is as follows for a model with two sectors – like the one we illustrated earlier












- The decision to nest what loop inside what other loop is a big issue that makes these models non-unique
- If the supply side is modelled separately then the way this is incorporated further complicates the sequence of model operations.
- In large scale integrated models, that we will deal with next time these are crucial issues
- In fact we don't have time but there is one further structural issue we will deal with when we meet next time and this is

Input-Output: The Echenique Models





There is some good reading of all this material in Google Books in Button, K. J., Haynes, K. E., Stopher, P., and Hensher, D. A. (Editors) (2004) **Handbook of Transport Geography and Spatial Systems**, Volume 5 (Handbooks in Transport), Elsevier Science, New York



http://books.google.com/books?id=WMO06zpfUy8C&printsec=frontcover&dq=handbook+of+transpo rt+geography+and+spatial+systems&source=bl&ots=QvGgLa6 kA&sig=BvOkiQ k5befH10nsQ GcCSuSNE&hl=en&ei=8CraSy1AZDosQORtrSHAQ&sa=X&oi=book result&ct=result&resnum =3&ved=0CBQQ6AEwAg#v=onepage&g&f=false





Reading

I will put material up on the web tomorrow

Any Questions?

www.casa.ucl.ac.uk



